



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: BEZAULT=1

In re Application of:) Art Unit: 3634
))
Christophe BEZAULT) Examiner: B. M. Johnson
))
Appln. No.: 10/096,477) Confirmation No. 1203
))
Filed: March 8, 2002) Washington, D.C.
))
For: ELASTIC TENSIONING CABLE) August 25, 2003

COMMUNICATION TO PTO

Customer Window, Mail Stop _____
Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

RECEIVED
AUG 26 2003
[GROUP 3]

Sir:

This is to note that there is a discrepancy between the face sheet of the Office Action indicating that the action is final and language on the third page indicating that "Due to the new rejections not being necessitated by Applicant's response, this action is not made."

In light of the specificity of the language on the third page as opposed checked box on the face sheet, Applicant assumes that the language of the third page governs. Accordingly, Applicant will proceed as if the Office Action is non-final if applicant decides to further respond.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By


Norman J. Latker
Registration No. 19,963

NJL:zv

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\L\Laur\Bezault1\PTO\LTRtoPTO25AUG03.doc